



(12) **United States Patent**  
**Newman et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 12,250,100 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*Mar. 11, 2025**

(54) **SELECTING A MODULATION SCHEME  
RESPONSIVE TO FAULT TYPES IN 5G/6G**

(71) Applicants: **David E. Newman**, Poway, NJ (US);  
**R. Kemp Massengill**, Palos Verdes, CA  
(US)

(72) Inventors: **David E. Newman**, Poway, NJ (US);  
**R. Kemp Massengill**, Palos Verdes, CA  
(US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this  
patent is extended or adjusted under 35  
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.  
  
This patent is subject to a terminal dis-  
claimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **18/735,063**

(22) Filed: **Jun. 5, 2024**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2024/0333558 A1 Oct. 3, 2024

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation of application No. 18/376,214, filed on  
Oct. 3, 2023, now Pat. No. 12,034,571, which is a  
continuation of application No. 18/212,905, filed on  
Jun. 22, 2023, now Pat. No. 11,811,565, which is a  
(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**H04L 25/03** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **H04L 25/03006** (2013.01);  
**H04L 2025/0342** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC . H03D 3/009; H03C 3/38; H03C 3/40; H04B  
10/6165; H04L 25/03006; H04L  
2025/0342; H04L 27/26; H04L 27/2601;  
H04L 27/2647; H04L 27/2655; H04L  
27/2668; H04L 27/2681; H04L 27/2688;  
H04L 27/3863; H04L 27/3872; H04L  
25/03; H04L 2025/0335; H04L  
2025/03375

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

4,327,439 A \* 4/1982 Gockler ..... H04L 27/2089  
375/280  
4,860,316 A \* 8/1989 Takenaka ..... H04L 27/3411  
375/264


(Continued)

*Primary Examiner* — James M Perez

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Networks operating at high frequencies in 5G and 6G may reduce the incidence of phase faulting by declaring that, above a specified frequency, messages are to be modulated according to multiplexed amplitude-phase modulation, instead of the QAM modulation generally used at lower frequencies. Multiplexed amplitude-phase modulation can provide larger phase margins than QAM of the same order, by arranging the modulation phase levels to be equally spaced-apart which they are not in QAM. For example, with 4 amplitude and 4 phase levels (16 states), the various modulation states can be separated by 90 degrees of phase, whereas in 16QAM the minimum phase separation is only 36.9 degrees, a serious problem at higher frequencies where phase noise predominates. In addition, QAM cannot accommodate non-square modulation tables, which are readily provided by amplitude-phase modulation, further enhancing fault-mitigation options.

**18 Claims, 7 Drawing Sheets**



<b>Related U.S. Application Data</b>		7,864,883 B2 *	1/2011	Park	H04L 27/34
continuation of application No. 17/994,876, filed on Nov. 28, 2022, now Pat. No. 11,736,320.		8,068,435 B2 *	11/2011	Agarwal	375/298 H04L 1/0003
(60)	Provisional application No. 63/426,853, filed on Nov. 21, 2022, provisional application No. 63/418,784, filed on Oct. 24, 2022, provisional application No. 63/409,888, filed on Sep. 26, 2022, provisional application No. 63/321,879, filed on Mar. 21, 2022, provisional application No. 63/309,748, filed on Feb. 14, 2022.	8,223,904 B2 *	7/2012	Sampath	702/69 H04B 7/0817
		8,665,977 B2 *	3/2014	Cheng	375/232 H04L 25/03242
		8,699,607 B2 *	4/2014	Seller	375/325 H04L 27/26524
		8,855,186 B2 *	10/2014	Tan	375/267 H04L 25/03885
		8,948,292 B1 *	2/2015	Taft	375/348 H04L 27/2075
		8,995,568 B1 *	3/2015	Wu	375/279 H04K 1/006
		9,270,509 B1 *	2/2016	Agon	375/295 H04L 27/361
		9,294,941 B1 *	3/2016	Wu	H04W 24/02
		9,300,436 B2 *	3/2016	Katayama	H04L 25/03057
		9,344,038 B2 *	5/2016	Hur	H04L 27/22
(56)	<b>References Cited</b>	9,369,237 B2 *	6/2016	Wiley	H04L 25/14
		9,438,307 B2 *	9/2016	Dehmas	H04B 1/7075
		9,806,880 B1 *	10/2017	Wiklund	H03L 7/093
		10,200,228 B2 *	2/2019	Wu	H04L 1/08
		10,367,586 B2 *	7/2019	Yue	H04B 10/541
		10,476,713 B1 *	11/2019	Murakami	H04W 28/0263
		10,601,624 B2 *	3/2020	Limberg	H04L 25/067
		10,644,926 B1 *	5/2020	Lopelli	H04L 27/2003
		10,680,752 B2 *	6/2020	Murakami	H04L 1/0009
		10,749,727 B2 *	8/2020	Rafique	H04L 27/3405
	<b>U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS</b>	10,992,411 B2 *	4/2021	Haghighat	H04L 1/0076
		11,032,113 B2 *	6/2021	Wiklund	H04L 27/361
		11,075,786 B1 *	7/2021	Shattil	H04L 5/0007
		11,133,833 B2 *	9/2021	Dorosenco	H04B 1/04
		11,153,780 B1 *	10/2021	Newman	H04L 43/0823
		11,206,092 B1 *	12/2021	Newman	H04L 27/364
		11,206,169 B1 *	12/2021	Newman	H04L 1/0003
		11,387,935 B2	7/2022	Newman	
		11,387,961 B2 *	7/2022	Newman	H04L 5/0051
		11,398,876 B2	7/2022	Newman	
		11,405,131 B2	8/2022	Newman	
		11,411,795 B2	8/2022	Newman	
		11,418,281 B2 *	8/2022	Newman	H04B 17/29
		11,418,372 B1 *	8/2022	Newman	H04W 24/08
		11,456,821 B2	9/2022	Newman	
		11,463,296 B2	10/2022	Newman	
		11,469,856 B2	10/2022	Newman	
		11,496,266 B2 *	11/2022	Newman	H04L 27/38
		11,502,893 B1 *	11/2022	Newman	H04L 27/345
		11,510,096 B2 *	11/2022	Newman	H04L 27/364
		11,516,057 B1 *	11/2022	Pirot	H04L 27/2614
		11,516,065 B2	11/2022	Newman	
		11,522,636 B2	12/2022	Newman	
		11,522,637 B2	12/2022	Newman	
		11,522,638 B2	12/2022	Newman	
		11,522,745 B2	12/2022	Newman	
		11,528,178 B1 *	12/2022	Newman	H04L 1/0071
		11,546,201 B1	1/2023	Newman	
		11,558,236 B1 *	1/2023	Newman	H04L 27/36
		11,563,515 B2 *	1/2023	Newman	H04B 17/17
		11,563,617 B2 *	1/2023	Harris	H04L 27/2605
		11,601,150 B1 *	3/2023	Newman	H04L 27/3872
		11,601,320 B1 *	3/2023	Newman	H04L 27/3863
		11,616,668 B1	3/2023	Newman	
		11,616,679 B2	3/2023	Newman	
		11,626,955 B2 *	4/2023	Newman	H04L 27/38
		11,637,649 B2 *	4/2023	Newman	370/329 H04L 27/38
		11,671,305 B1 *	6/2023	Newman	375/232 H04L 27/3872
		11,695,612 B2	7/2023	Newman	375/268
		11,722,980 B1 *	8/2023	Newman	H04W 56/0075
		11,736,320 B2 *	8/2023	Newman	370/350 H04L 25/06
		11,736,332 B2 *	8/2023	Newman	375/262 H04L 1/1809
					375/141

# US 12,250,100 B2

Page 3

(56)	<b>References Cited</b>				2007/0230594 A1 *	10/2007	Mo	.....	H04L 1/04
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS				2007/0291874 A1 *	12/2007	Park	.....	375/260 H04L 27/34
11,736,333 B2 *	8/2023	Newman	.....	H04L 1/0003	2008/0031307 A1 *	2/2008	Fukuoka	.....	375/298 H04B 7/12
11,737,044 B1 *	8/2023	Newman	.....	H04W 56/0035	2008/0112509 A1 *	5/2008	Bock	.....	375/E1.001 H04L 27/2273
11,770,207 B2	9/2023	Newman	.....	370/329	2008/0160934 A1 *	7/2008	Nakamura	.....	375/326 H03F 3/189
11,770,209 B2	9/2023	Newman	.....		2008/0212517 A1 *	9/2008	Thesling	.....	455/127.1 H04L 5/023
11,777,547 B2 *	10/2023	Newman	.....	H04L 27/389	2008/0219386 A1 *	9/2008	Chrabieh	.....	370/316 H04L 27/3863
11,777,639 B2 *	10/2023	Newman	.....	375/340	2009/0033416 A1 *	2/2009	Rofougaran	.....	375/343 H04L 27/361
11,784,764 B2	10/2023	Newman	.....	H04L 27/38	2009/0034635 A1 *	2/2009	Golitschek Edler Von	.....	330/133 H04L 27/183
11,785,591 B2	10/2023	Newman	.....	375/232	2009/0034655 A1 *	2/2009	Golitschek Edler Von	.....	375/295 H04L 27/18
11,799,707 B2 *	10/2023	Newman	.....	H04L 27/261	2009/0074106 A1 *	3/2009	See	.....	375/302 H04L 27/362
11,811,565 B1 *	11/2023	Newman	.....	H04L 27/38	2009/0131064 A1 *	5/2009	Khan	.....	375/300 H04L 5/0023
11,817,950 B2	11/2023	Newman	.....		2009/0161786 A1 *	6/2009	Nakagawa	.....	455/450 H03M 13/256
11,824,667 B2	11/2023	Newman	.....	H04L 27/364	2009/0191825 A1 *	7/2009	Georgantas	.....	375/286 H04B 1/0483
11,832,128 B2 *	11/2023	Newman	.....	H04L 27/36	2009/0252501 A1 *	10/2009	Eiselt	.....	455/102 H04B 10/5053
11,871,254 B2	1/2024	Newman	.....	H04L 25/03006	2009/0290631 A1 *	11/2009	Lee	.....	398/185 H04B 1/662
11,996,973 B2 *	5/2024	Newman	.....	H04L 25/03006	2009/0322587 A1 *	12/2009	Stayton	.....	375/240 H04L 27/26
12,034,571 B2 *	7/2024	Newman	.....	H04L 27/362	2009/0324253 A1 *	12/2009	Winzer	.....	342/37 H04B 10/541
12,052,129 B1 *	7/2024	Newman	.....	H04L 27/0014	2010/0311354 A1 *	12/2010	Stayton	.....	398/185 G08G 5/0008
12,126,477 B2 *	10/2024	Newman	.....	329/304	2010/0315282 A1 *	12/2010	Stayton	.....	455/90.1 H04L 27/02
2001/0017897 A1 *	8/2001	Ahn	.....	H04L 27/0008	2011/0051836 A1 *	3/2011	Lee	.....	342/40 H04L 27/361
2002/0114379 A1 *	8/2002	Uesugi	.....	375/219	2011/0090988 A1 *	4/2011	Brillant	.....	375/268 H04L 27/36
2002/0154620 A1 *	10/2002	Azenkot	.....	H04L 27/0008	2011/0116535 A1 *	5/2011	Mori	.....	375/298 H04L 27/361
2002/0181404 A1 *	12/2002	Insler	.....	370/347	2011/0150133 A1 *	6/2011	Chang	.....	375/224 H04L 27/36
2003/0206073 A1 *	11/2003	Kravtsov	.....	H04L 27/2601	2011/0150143 A1 *	6/2011	Han	.....	375/300 H04L 25/067
2004/0028146 A1 *	2/2004	Winkler	.....	370/252	2011/0150477 A1 *	6/2011	Winzer	.....	375/329 H04J 14/06
2004/0114692 A1 *	6/2004	Matsumoto	.....	H04L 27/3872	2011/0182382 A1 *	7/2011	Staszewski	.....	398/79 H04L 27/361
2004/0184559 A1 *	9/2004	Ballantyne	.....	332/103	2011/0243266 A1 *	10/2011	Roh	.....	375/298 H04L 27/3472
2005/0169391 A1 *	8/2005	Takeda	.....	H04L 27/2626	2011/0305457 A1 *	12/2011	Kikuchi	.....	375/261 H04L 27/3845
2005/0195909 A1 *	9/2005	Hwang	.....	375/260	2012/0027139 A1 *	2/2012	Khayrallah	.....	398/79 H04L 5/0023
2005/0195911 A1 *	9/2005	Deng	.....	H04L 27/36	2012/0063549 A1 *	3/2012	Futami	.....	375/347 H04L 25/03006
2005/0207367 A1 *	9/2005	Onggosanusi	.....	375/261	2012/0137333 A1 *	5/2012	Feher	.....	375/320 H04N 21/426
2005/0249314 A1 *	11/2005	Bock	.....	H04L 5/023	2012/0236969 A1 *	9/2012	Sadowsky	.....	725/62 H04L 25/03222
2005/0271161 A1 *	12/2005	Staszewki	.....	370/315	2012/0266040 A1 *	10/2012	Hamkins	.....	375/340 H03M 13/1114
2006/0023717 A1 *	2/2006	Trachtman	.....	H04L 27/38	2012/0281988 A1 *	11/2012	Kikuchi	.....	714/752 H04B 10/677
2006/0038710 A1 *	2/2006	Staszewski	.....	375/322	2013/0022149 A1 *	1/2013	McCune, Jr.	.....	398/159 H04L 27/362
2006/0105710 A1 *	5/2006	Imamura	.....	H03F 1/32	2013/0100988 A1 *	4/2013	Miyoshi	.....	375/302 H04B 7/15528
2006/0256755 A1 *	11/2006	Matsumoto	.....	375/297					375/211
2007/0058745 A1 *	3/2007	Watanabe	.....	H04L 1/0083					
2007/0092018 A1 *	4/2007	Fonseka	.....	370/392					
2007/0160157 A1 *	7/2007	Miyoshi	.....	H04L 27/361					
2007/0201350 A1 *	8/2007	Papasakellariou	.....	341/143					
2007/0206559 A1 *	9/2007	Cho	.....	H04L 25/0202					
2007/0211786 A1 *	9/2007	Shattil	.....	455/39					
2007/0223361 A1 *	9/2007	Geile	.....	H04L 27/3405					
				370/335					
				H04L 25/03171					
				375/264					
				H04L 1/0054					
				375/265					
				H04L 1/0033					
				375/260					
				H04L 5/023					
				375/267					
				H04L 5/0094					
				370/344					
				H04J 13/00					
				375/147					
				H04L 27/2614					
				370/204					

# US 12,250,100 B2

Page 4

(56)

## References Cited

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2013/0108276	A1 *	5/2013	Kikuchi	H04B 10/677	398/210
2013/0336649	A1 *	12/2013	Essiambre	H04L 1/0003	398/25
2014/0147117	A1 *	5/2014	Kikuchi	H04B 10/2507	398/183
2014/0169434	A1 *	6/2014	Hewavithana	H04L 27/3836	375/232
2014/0363176	A1 *	12/2014	Mizuguchi	H04B 10/65	398/204
2015/0116097	A1 *	4/2015	Cregg	H04L 27/2601	340/13.22
2015/0163015	A1 *	6/2015	Katayama	H04L 25/03057	375/285
2015/0280834	A1 *	10/2015	Sun	H04B 10/6165	398/79
2015/0295625	A1 *	10/2015	Murakami	H04L 27/26	375/322
2015/0311986	A1 *	10/2015	Thomas	H04L 25/03006	375/230
2015/0338503	A1 *	11/2015	Stayton	G01S 7/003	342/36
2015/0358648	A1 *	12/2015	Limberg	H04L 1/0052	725/109
2016/0087736	A1 *	3/2016	Murakami	H04L 1/0041	370/312
2016/0088373	A1 *	3/2016	Li	H04L 27/38	398/45
2016/0197752	A1 *	7/2016	Schmalen	H04L 27/3455	375/298
2016/0204967	A1 *	7/2016	Choi	H04L 27/38	375/340
2016/0205565	A1 *	7/2016	Liu	H04J 11/00	370/203
2017/0033743	A1 *	2/2017	Mirzaei	H03F 3/2171	
2017/0070298	A1 *	3/2017	Elgala	H04L 27/2697	
2017/0133989	A1 *	5/2017	Dykstra	H03F 1/223	
2017/0180179	A1 *	6/2017	Shi	H04L 27/2695	
2017/0302381	A1 *	10/2017	Riani	H04B 10/25891	
2017/0371990	A1 *	12/2017	Wiklund	H04W 88/02	
2018/0132122	A1 *	5/2018	Yoo	H04W 24/08	
2018/0145865	A1 *	5/2018	Fei	H04J 14/06	
2018/0227929	A1 *	8/2018	Yoo	H04L 5/005	
2018/0252796	A1 *	9/2018	Qu	G01S 5/26	
2018/0287569	A1 *	10/2018	Xu	H03F 3/20	
2018/0337815	A1 *	11/2018	Huang	H04B 10/541	
2018/0367275	A1 *	12/2018	Nammi	H04L 5/0051	
2018/0368013	A1 *	12/2018	Yoo	H04L 5/0048	
2019/0132171	A1 *	5/2019	Limberg	H04L 27/2067	
2019/0149376	A1 *	5/2019	Dai	H04B 1/00	375/329
2019/0190677	A1 *	6/2019	Kim	H04L 5/0053	
2019/0326964	A1 *	10/2019	Li	H04B 7/0473	
2019/0334755	A1 *	10/2019	Limberg	H04L 27/28	
2019/0349240	A1 *	11/2019	Saito	H04W 28/06	
2020/0028725	A1 *	1/2020	Limberg	H04L 25/067	
2020/0091608	A1 *	3/2020	Alpman	H01Q 1/243	
2020/0099558	A1 *	3/2020	Wiklund	H04B 1/0483	
2020/0220689	A1 *	7/2020	Hessler	H04L 27/2613	
2020/0220763	A1 *	7/2020	Montorsi	H04L 27/3405	
2020/0235979	A1 *	7/2020	Yokomakura	H04W 88/02	
2020/0296736	A1 *	9/2020	Yokomakura	H04L 5/0048	
2020/0322010	A1 *	10/2020	Kim	H04L 25/0224	
2020/0351141	A1 *	11/2020	Bicais	H04L 1/0003	
2021/0044372	A1 *	2/2021	Yang	H04L 27/2613	
2021/0058282	A1 *	2/2021	Murakami	H04B 7/0682	
2021/0105166	A1 *	4/2021	Khoshnevisan	H04W 8/24	
2021/0119734	A1 *	4/2021	Mheich	H04L 27/26	
2021/0320747	A1 *	10/2021	Yoshioka	H04L 1/0009	
2021/0385118	A1 *	12/2021	Umehara	H04L 27/26	
2022/0140938	A1 *	5/2022	Newman	H04B 17/336	370/328
2022/0140940	A1	5/2022	Newman		
2022/0150022	A1 *	5/2022	Newman	H04L 5/0051	
2022/0173954	A1	6/2022	Newman		
2022/0200776	A1 *	6/2022	Carlton	H04W 74/002	
2022/0247512	A1 *	8/2022	Newman	H04L 1/0003	
2022/0271761	A1 *	8/2022	Zhu	H03L 7/089	
2022/0294683	A1 *	9/2022	Chakraborty	H03F 1/0216	
2022/0295344	A1 *	9/2022	Newman	H04L 43/0823	
2022/0311578	A1 *	9/2022	Newman	H04L 5/0051	
2022/0353011	A1 *	11/2022	Newman	H04B 17/336	
2022/0393932	A1 *	12/2022	Newman	H04L 27/345	
2023/0031261	A1 *	2/2023	Newman	H04L 27/3872	
2023/0039263	A1 *	2/2023	Newman	H04L 25/03006	
2023/0046269	A1 *	2/2023	Newman	H04L 27/2675	
2023/0057684	A1 *	2/2023	Newman	H04L 27/3872	
2023/0058691	A1 *	2/2023	Newman	H04L 5/0048	
2023/0060032	A1 *	2/2023	Newman	H04L 27/22	
2023/0081108	A1	3/2023	Newman		
2023/0085866	A1 *	3/2023	Newman	H04L 27/38	
2023/0113691	A1 *	4/2023	Kim	H04L 5/0094	370/330
2023/0124141	A1 *	4/2023	Eistein	H04L 27/0014	375/262
2023/0129333	A1 *	4/2023	Newman	H04L 5/0053	370/329
2023/0155796	A1 *	5/2023	Newman	H04L 27/2675	
2023/0156648	A1 *	5/2023	Newman	H04W 56/0075	
2023/0164019	A1 *	5/2023	Newman	H04L 27/36	375/268
2023/0178891	A1 *	6/2023	Newman	H01Q 1/246	342/372
2023/0208461	A1 *	6/2023	Newman	H04L 27/389	375/340
2023/0231645	A1 *	7/2023	Newman	H04L 1/0003	375/232
2023/0239854	A1 *	7/2023	Newman	H04W 72/542	
2023/0254198	A1 *	8/2023	Newman	H04L 27/3863	375/262
2023/0261811	A1 *	8/2023	Newman	H04L 1/201	370/252
2023/0353428	A1 *	11/2023	Newman	H04L 27/38	
2023/0387952	A1 *	11/2023	Newman	H04L 27/389	
2024/0031205	A1 *	1/2024	Newman	H04L 25/03006	
2024/0080126	A1 *	3/2024	Newman	H04L 27/38	
2024/0080231	A1 *	3/2024	Newman	H04L 27/08	
2024/0080234	A1 *	3/2024	Newman	H04L 25/03006	
2024/0154679	A1	5/2024	Newman		
2024/0275661	A1 *	8/2024	Newman	H04L 25/03006	
2024/0333558	A1 *	10/2024	Newman	H04L 25/03006	
2024/0348489	A1 *	10/2024	Newman	H04L 27/3872	

\* cited by examiner

FIG. 1A




FIG. 1B




FIG. 1C




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B








FIG. 2C




**FIG. 3****FIG. 4**

**FIG. 5A**



**FIG. 5B**






**FIG. 6**

FIG. 7A




FIG. 7B




FIG. 7C




FIG. 8A




FIG. 8B





FIG. 8C



1

## SELECTING A MODULATION SCHEME RESPONSIVE TO FAULT TYPES IN 5G/6G

### PRIORITY CLAIMS AND RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 18/376,214, entitled “Modulation and Demodulation for Enhanced Noise Margins in 5G and 6G”, filed Oct. 3, 2023, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/212,905, entitled “Demodulation Using Two Modulation Schemes in 5G and 6G”, filed Jun. 22, 2023, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/994,876, entitled “Multiplexed Amplitude-Phase Modulation for 5G/6G Noise Mitigation”, filed Nov. 28, 2022, which claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 63/426,853, entitled “Multiplexed Amplitude-Phase Modulation for 5G/6G Noise Mitigation”, filed Nov. 22, 2022, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 63/418,784, entitled “Demodulation for Phase-Noise Mitigation in 5G and 6G”, filed Oct. 24, 2022, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 63/412,654, entitled “Guard-Space Phase-Tracking Reference Signal for 5G and 6G Networking”, filed Oct. 3, 2022, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 63/403,924, entitled “Phase-Noise Mitigation at High Frequencies in 5G and 6G”, filed Sep. 6, 2022, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 63/409,888, entitled “Single-Branch Reference for High-Frequency Phase Tracking in 5G and 6G”, filed Sep. 26, 2022, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 63/321,879, entitled “Low-Complexity Demodulation of 5G and 6G Messages”, filed Mar. 21, 2022, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 63/309,748, entitled “Error Detection and Correction in 5G/6G Pulse-Amplitude Modulation”, filed Feb. 14, 2022, all of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entireties.

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The disclosure pertains to mitigation of amplitude noise and phase noise in wireless messaging, and particularly to phase-noise mitigation at high frequencies.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Wireless communication at very high frequencies, such as tens to hundreds of GHz, is needed for the massively increased demand in bandwidth and throughput expected in 5G and 6G. However, phase noise is an increasing problem at higher frequencies, preventing full usage of the bandwidth for messaging. What is needed is means for mitigating the phase noise so that the promise of high-speed messaging at high frequencies can be at least partially realized.

This Background is provided to introduce a brief context for the Summary and Detailed Description that follow. This Background is not intended to be an aid in determining the scope of the claimed subject matter nor be viewed as limiting the claimed subject matter to implementations that solve any or all of the disadvantages or problems presented above.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In a first aspect, there is a method for a wireless receiver to mitigate message faults, the method comprising: receiving a message comprising message elements modulated according to a first modulation scheme, each message ele-

2

ment comprising a sinusoidal signal comprising a received amplitude and a received phase; for each message element, separating the sinusoidal signal into an I-branch comprising an I-branch amplitude, and an orthogonal Q-branch comprising a Q-branch amplitude; for each message element, calculating a sum-signal amplitude comprising a combination of the I-branch amplitude and the Q-branch amplitude, and calculating a sum-signal phase comprising a different combination of the I-branch amplitude and the Q-branch amplitude; and demodulating the message by comparing the sum-signal amplitude to integer Namp predetermined amplitude levels, and comparing the sum-signal phase to integer Nphase predetermined phase levels.

In another aspect, there is a method for a wireless transmitter to mitigate message faults, the method comprising: transmitting a first message modulated according to a first modulation scheme, the first modulation scheme comprising integer Namp predetermined amplitude levels and integer Nphase predetermined phase levels; receiving a request message requesting that subsequent messages be modulated according to a second modulation scheme comprising integer Mamp predetermined amplitude levels and integer Mphase predetermined phase levels, wherein Mamp differs from Namp, or Mphase differs from Nphase, or both; and transmitting an acknowledgement message modulated according to Mamp predetermined amplitude levels and Mphase predetermined phase levels.

In another aspect, there is a method for a wireless network to mitigate message faults, the method comprising: transmitting a system information message indicating a first modulation scheme, a second modulation scheme, and a particular frequency; wherein messages transmitted using a frequency below the particular frequency are to be modulated according to the first modulation scheme, and messages transmitted using a frequency above the particular frequency are to be modulated according to the second modulation scheme.

This Summary is provided to introduce a selection of concepts in a simplified form. The concepts are further described in the Detailed Description section. Elements or steps other than those described in this Summary are possible, and no element or step is necessarily required. This Summary is not intended to identify key features or essential features of the claimed subject matter, nor is it intended for use as an aid in determining the scope of the claimed subject matter. The claimed subject matter is not limited to implementations that solve any or all disadvantages noted in any part of this disclosure.

These and other embodiments are described in further detail with reference to the figures and accompanying detailed description as provided below.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1A is a schematic showing an exemplary embodiment of a 16QAM constellation chart with orthogonal amplitude-modulated branches, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 1B is a polar plot showing an exemplary embodiment of the overall wave amplitude and phase of 16QAM states, according to prior art.

FIG. 1C is a schematic showing an exemplary embodiment of a constellation chart of the states of FIG. 1A including phase noise, demodulated according to orthogonal amplitude-modulated branches, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 2A is a schematic showing an exemplary embodiment of a modulation scheme with multiplexed amplitude and phase modulation, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 2B is a polar plot showing an exemplary embodiment of the overall wave amplitude and phase of the states of FIG. 2A, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 2C is a schematic showing an exemplary embodiment of a constellation chart of the states of FIG. 2A, but demodulated according to orthogonal amplitude-modulated branches, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 3 is a schematic showing an exemplary embodiment of a wave modulated according to overall amplitude and phase, and the same wave decomposed into orthogonal branches, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 4 is a flowchart showing an exemplary embodiment of a method to transmit a message modulated according to wave amplitude and phase, and then receive the message using quadrature components, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 5A is an exemplary embodiment of a constellation chart showing the received states of FIG. 2C including phase noise, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 5B is an exemplary embodiment of a constellation chart showing the received states of FIG. 2C including amplitude noise, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 6 is a flowchart showing an exemplary embodiment of a procedure for modulating a message according to overall wave amplitude and phase, receiving the message according to orthogonal branch amplitudes, and correcting amplitude noise and phase noise, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 7A is a modulation table showing an exemplary embodiment of states modulated according to a non-square modulation scheme with three phase levels, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 7B is a polar plot showing an exemplary embodiment of states modulated according to a non-square modulation scheme with three phase levels, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 7C is a constellation chart showing an exemplary embodiment of states modulated according to a non-square modulation scheme with three phase levels, and demodulated according to orthogonal branch amplitudes, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 8A is a modulation table showing an exemplary embodiment of states modulated according to a non-square modulation scheme with two phase levels, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 8B is a polar plot showing an exemplary embodiment of states modulated according to a non-square modulation scheme with two phase levels, according to some embodiments.

FIG. 8C is a constellation chart showing an exemplary embodiment of states modulated according to a non-square modulation scheme with two phase levels, and demodulated according to orthogonal branch amplitudes, according to some embodiments.

Like reference numerals refer to like elements throughout.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Systems and methods disclosed herein (the “systems” and “methods”, also occasionally termed “embodiments” or “arrangements” or “versions” or “examples”, generally according to present principles) can provide urgently needed wireless communication protocols for mitigating the effects of phase noise at high frequencies planned for late 5G and

6G communications. Disclosed herein are methods to prepare and transmit a message according to a first modulation scheme, and then to receive and demodulate the message according to a second modulation scheme with different properties. The first modulation scheme, in some embodiments, is multiplexed amplitude and phase modulation, which can be configured to provide enhanced phase-noise margins and versatility, especially for generating advantageous non-square modulation schemes. The second modulation scheme, in some embodiments, uses two orthogonal amplitude-modulated branches, which may provide convenient signal processing of quadrature components, as opposed to processing the whole received wave. Examples show how using two different modulation schemes for transmission and reception can enable mitigation of phase noise and amplitude noise. Further examples show how to diagnose message faults according to the amplitude and phase deviations of the demodulated signals, and how to select a particular modulation scheme to combat observed fault types, including phase faults.

The examples presented below are suitable for adoption by a wireless standards organization. Advanced modulation options configured to provide large phase margins, when presented as a wireless standard, may enable future wireless users to access high-frequency bands that would otherwise be precluded due to phase noise, as well as enhanced reliability in low-medium frequency bands, at zero or negligible cost in power and resources.

Terms herein generally follow 3GPP (third generation partnership project) standards, but with clarification where needed to resolve ambiguities. As used herein, “5G” represents fifth-generation, and “6G” sixth-generation, wireless technology in which a network (or cell or LAN Local Area Network or RAN Radio Access Network or the like) may include a base station (or gNB or generation-node-B or eNB or evolution-node-B or AP Access Point) in signal communication with a plurality of user devices (or UE or User Equipment or user nodes or terminals or wireless transmit-receive units) and operationally connected to a core network (CN) which handles non-radio tasks, such as administration, and is usually connected to a larger network such as the Internet. The time-frequency space is generally configured as a “resource grid” including a number of “resource elements”, each resource element being a specific unit of time termed a “symbol period” or “symbol-time”, and a specific frequency and bandwidth termed a “subcarrier” (or “sub-channel” in some references). Symbol periods may be termed “OFDM symbols” (Orthogonal Frequency-Division Multiplexing) in references. The time domain may be divided into ten-millisecond frames, one-millisecond subframes, and some number of slots, each slot including 14 symbol periods. The number of slots per subframe ranges from 1 to 8 depending on the “numerology” selected. The frequency axis is divided into “resource blocks” (also termed “resource element groups” or “REG” or “channels” in references) including 12 subcarriers, each subcarrier at a slightly different frequency. The “numerology” of a resource grid corresponds to the subcarrier spacing in the frequency domain. Subcarrier spacings of 15, 30, 60, 120, and 240 kHz are defined in various numerologies. Each subcarrier can be independently modulated to convey message information. Thus a resource element, spanning a single symbol period in time and a single subcarrier in frequency, is the smallest unit of a message. “Classical” amplitude-phase modulation refers to message elements modulated in both amplitude and phase, whereas “quadrature” or “PAM” (pulse-amplitude) modulation refers to two signals, separately amplitude-

modulated, and then multiplexed and transmitted with a 90-degree phase shift between them. The two signals may be called the “I” and “Q” branch signals (for In-phase and Quadrature-phase) or “real and imaginary” among others. Standard modulation schemes in 5G and 6G include BPSK (binary phase-shift keying), QPSK (quad phase-shift keying), 16QAM (quadrature amplitude modulation with 16 modulation states), 64QAM, 256QAM and higher orders. Most of the examples below relate to QPSK or 16QAM, with straightforward extension to the other levels of modulation. QPSK is phase modulated but not amplitude modulated. 16QAM may be modulated according to PAM which exhibits two phase levels at zero and 90 degrees (or in practice, for carrier suppression,  $\pm 45$  degrees) and four amplitude levels including two positive and two negative amplitude levels, thus forming 16 distinct modulation states. For comparison, classical amplitude-phase modulation with 16 states includes four positive amplitude levels and four phases of the overall wave signal, which are multiplexed to produce the 16 states of the modulation scheme. “SNR” (signal-to-noise ratio) and “SINR” (signal-to-interference-and-noise ratio) are used interchangeably unless specifically indicated. “RRC” (radio resource control) is a control-type message from a base station to a user device. “Digitization” refers to repeatedly measuring a waveform using, for example, a fast ADC (analog-to-digital converter) or the like. An “RF mixer” is a device for multiplying an incoming signal with a local oscillator signal, thereby selecting one component of the incoming signal.

In addition to the 3GPP terms, the following terms are defined herein. Although in references a modulated resource element of a message may be referred to as a “symbol”, this may be confused with the same term for a time interval (“symbol-time”), among other things. Therefore, each modulated resource element of a message is referred to as a “modulated message resource element”, or more simply as a “message element”, in examples below. A “demodulation reference” is one or more modulated “reference resource elements” or “reference elements” modulated according to the modulation scheme of the message and configured to exhibit levels of the modulation scheme (as opposed to conveying data). A “calibration set” is one or more predetermined amplitude levels and/or phase levels of a modulation scheme, typically determined by a receiver from a demodulation reference. A “short-form” demodulation reference is a demodulation reference that exhibits only selected amplitude levels, such as the maximum and/or minimum amplitude levels, of the modulation scheme, from which the receiver can determine any intermediate levels by calculation. “RF” or radio-frequency refers to electromagnetic waves in the MHz (megahertz) or GHz (gigahertz) frequency ranges. The “raw” or “overall” or “waveform” signal is the as-received waveform before separation of the quadrature branch signals, and includes a raw-signal amplitude and a raw-signal phase. “Phase noise” is random noise or time jitter that alters the overall phase of a received signal, usually without significantly affecting the overall amplitude. “Phase-noise tolerance” or “phase-noise margin” is a measure of how much phase alteration can be imposed on a message element without causing a demodulation fault. “Amplitude noise” includes any noise or interference that primarily affects amplitudes of received signals. Interference due to competing signals is treated as noise herein, unless otherwise specified. A “faulted” message has at least one incorrectly demodulated message element. A “phase fault” is a message element demodulated as a state differing in phase from the intended modulation state, whereas an “amplitude

fault” is a message element demodulated as a state differing in amplitude from the intended modulation state.

Referring to quadrature or QAM or PAM modulation, an “I-Q” space is an abstract two-dimensional space defined by an I-branch amplitude and an orthogonal Q-branch amplitude, in which each transmitted message element occupies one of several predetermined I-Q states of a modulation scheme. The orthogonal branches are sometimes called “real” and “imaginary”, and the I-Q space is sometimes called the “complex plane”. The incoming signal to the receiver may be termed the “overall” waveform or signal, which includes an “overall amplitude” and an “overall phase”. The receiver can then process the overall signal by separating it into two orthogonal branches as mentioned. The receiver can also combine the branch amplitudes to determine a “sum-signal”, which is the vector sum of the I and Q branch signals and generally approximates the overall waveform. A vector sum is a sum of two vectors, which in this case represent the amplitudes and phases of the two orthogonal branches in I-Q space. The sum-signal has a “sum-signal amplitude”, equal to the square root of the sum of the I and Q branch amplitudes squared (the “root-sum-square” of I and Q), and a “sum-signal phase”, equal to the arctangent of the ratio of the I and Q signal amplitudes (plus an optional base phase, ignored herein). Thus the sum-signal represents the overall received waveform, aside from signal processing errors in the receiver—which are generally negligible and are ignored herein.

When the message element is received at a receiver, the signal may be substantially different from the transmitted wave due to added phase noise and amplitude noise. Normally the receiver separates the received wave into orthogonal I and Q branches which are affected in complex ways by the noise. Examples below show (a) how the transmitted signal can be modulated to provide enhanced phase-noise or amplitude-noise margins, (b) how the receiver can quantitatively determine the amplitude and phase noise effects, and (c) how the receiver can subtract amplitude and phase noise from the received message. Further examples show how a network can monitor various types of noise, and can select a different modulation scheme (such as a non-square modulation scheme) to combat specific noise problems.

Most of the examples are presented using 16QAM and the corresponding multiplexed amplitude-phase modulation scheme of 16 states, however the principles and conclusions apply equally to higher-order QAM, other quadrature modulation schemes, and many other modulation schemes in general.

Turning now to the figures, examples show how modulation schemes are susceptible to phase noise at high frequencies.

FIG. 1A is a schematic showing an exemplary embodiment of a 16QAM constellation chart, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, a modulation scheme **101** includes 16 allowed modulation states **102**, each allowed state determined by an I-branch signal and a Q-branch signal orthogonal to the I-branch signal (for example, the Q-branch phase-modulated at 90 degrees relative to the I-branch). The horizontal axis shows the amplitude modulation of the I-branch signal, and the vertical axis shows the amplitude modulation of the Q-branch signal. Each branch is amplitude-modulated at one of the predetermined branch amplitude levels of the modulation scheme. In this case, the predetermined branch amplitude levels are  $-3$ ,  $-1$ ,  $+1$ , and  $+3$  (here and elsewhere, amplitudes are given in arbitrary units). For example, the distance **103** may be 1 unit, and the distance **104** may be 3

units. The various branch amplitude levels are equally separated and symmetrical around zero. The central cross-shape represents zero amplitude. Negative branch amplitude levels are equivalent to a 180-degree phase change. There are 16 states, as expected for 16QAM. A receiver can receive a message element modulated according to this modulation scheme, and can extract the I and Q branches separately by analog or digital signal-processing means. The receiver can then measure the amplitudes of those two branches, compare the measured amplitude values to a predetermined set of branch amplitude levels, select the closest match to each of the message branch amplitude, and thereby determine the modulation state of the message element. 16QAM encodes 4 bits per message element.

The received waveform, before separation of the two branches, has an overall amplitude **105** and an overall phase **106**, as indicated for a particular state **103**. The overall amplitude **105** is the radius of the state **103** from the origin, and the overall phase **106** is the angle relative to the horizontal axis (ignoring carrier suppression, etc.). Thus each state **102**, **103** can be described in terms of the I and Q branch amplitudes, or the overall amplitude and phase, depending on the task.

Each state **103** in the figure is represented as an icon shaded according to the overall amplitude, with dark gray for the lowest overall amplitude, light gray for the intermediate overall amplitude, and white for the highest overall amplitude. Although there are four branch amplitude levels in 16QAM, there are only three overall wave amplitudes, as indicated.

FIG. 1B is a polar plot showing an exemplary embodiment of the states of 16QAM, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, the states of 16QAM are shaded as in the previous figure, according to the corresponding overall amplitude which is indicated by circles **110**, **111**, **112**. The lowest overall amplitude is shown as a small circle **112** and the four low-amplitude states are shown as dark gray dots **113**. The four states with the largest overall amplitude are shown as white dots **115** on the large circle **110**. Eight states in 16QAM have the same intermediate amplitude, as shown in light gray **114** on the middle circle **111**. Also shown is an arrow **118** indicating the overall amplitude of a particular state **117**, and an arc **116** indicating the overall phase of the state **117**.

The overall amplitude **118** equals the square root of the sum of the squares of the I and Q branch amplitudes (that is, the “root-sum-square” of I and Q), while the overall phase **116** equals the arctangent of the Q amplitude divided by the I amplitude. To convert back to QAM, the I branch amplitude equals the overall amplitude **118** times the cosine of the overall phase **116**, and the Q branch amplitude equals the overall amplitude **118** times the sine of the overall phase **116**. Conversion between the quadrature parameters and the overall wave parameters is thus straightforward.

Although the branch amplitudes are modulated with four branch amplitude levels in 16QAM, the actual transmitted waveform has only three distinct amplitudes, given by the three circles **110**, **111**, **112**. This is because eight of the 16QAM states have the same overall amplitude. For 16QAM, the intermediate amplitude is 3.16 arbitrary units (that is,  $\sqrt{(I^2+Q^2)}=\sqrt{(3^2+1^2)}=3.16$  for each of the gray dots **113**). The three amplitude values of the transmitted waveforms are not equally spaced, as shown by the three circles **110**, **111**, **112** having non-equally-spaced radii (specifically 1.41, 3.16, and 4.24 arbitrary units in this case). Thus, although the branch amplitudes are modulated according to four amplitude levels, the transmitted and received wave has

only three distinct amplitude levels, in 16QAM. The unequal spacing of the overall waveform amplitudes can limit the amplitude noise immunity, as described below.

Also shown is an arc-arrow **119** indicating the phase difference between two of the intermediate-amplitude states. Due to the nonlinearities in QAM, that phase difference **119** is only 36.9 degrees. Due to the close spacing between adjacent states, 16QAM and the other QAM modulation schemes can result in phase faulting at high frequencies and high noise environments.

FIG. 1C is a schematic showing an exemplary embodiment of the effect of phase noise on a 16QAM constellation chart, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, the modulation states **122** of a 16QAM constellation chart **121** can be distorted angularly (“smeared out”) by phase noise in a characteristic way, as indicated by phase distortion clouds **128** which have an angular width as indicated by an arc-arrow **123**. The depicted distortions would be caused by moderate phase noise at moderate frequencies; at high frequencies it is much worse. If the same modulation scheme were attempted at the much higher frequencies planned for future wireless operation, the phase noise would be much larger than depicted, and the phase-noise clouds would substantially overlap, resulting in frequent message faults. For example, a particular pair of 16QAM states, indicated by an arc-arrow **129**, are separated by only 36.9 degrees of phase, as mentioned. This limits the phase noise tolerance of 16QAM, and indicates the need for strategies to mitigate phase noise and enable communications at high frequencies.

FIG. 2A is a schematic showing an exemplary embodiment of a modulation scheme with multiplexed amplitude and phase modulation, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, a modulation table **201** includes 16 states **202**, **205**, **206** modulated in phase and amplitude of the overall waveform. The phase separation between adjacent states is 90 degrees, as indicated by an arc-arrow **209**. The depicted amplitude levels (1,2,3,4 units) and phase levels (45, 135, 225, 315 degrees) are equally spaced apart. Hence, each state **202**, **205**, **206** is separated by 90 degrees from its phase-adjacent neighbors, and by one amplitude unit from its amplitude-adjacent neighbors. The icons of each state are shaded as white for the highest amplitude states, light gray for the next-highest, then dark gray, then black for the lowest amplitude states.

Although the modulation table **201** appears similar to the constellation chart **101** of FIG. 1A, the meaning is quite different. The constellation chart **101** is a plot of the in-phase amplitude versus the quadrature-phase amplitude, whereas the modulation table **201** shows the overall wave amplitude versus the overall wave phase. As demonstrated below, the two schemes (16QAM and multiplexed amplitude-phase modulation with 16 states) provide the same bits per message element, but they differ greatly in their noise sensitivities.

FIG. 2B is a polar plot showing an exemplary embodiment of the overall wave amplitude and phase of the states of FIG. 2A, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, circles **211**, **212**, **213**, **214** correspond to the four amplitudes of the modulation table **201**, while four phase angles such as **219** correspond to the four phase levels of the modulation table **201**. The state icons are shaded in the same way as in FIG. 2A, with white representing the highest amplitude **214**, and black representing the lowest amplitude **211**. A particular state **215** corresponds to state **205** in the previous figure. State **216** here corresponds to state **206** in the previous figure, that is, the state

with the highest overall amplitude and highest overall phase. In addition, the polar plot shows that the lowest and highest phase levels are in fact separated by the same phase angle (90 degrees) as the other phases, although the modulation table does not make that obvious. The separation between phase-adjacent states is indicated by an arc-arrow **219**.

FIG. 2C is a schematic showing an exemplary embodiment of a constellation chart of the states of FIG. 2A, transmitted according to multiplexed amplitude-phase modulation, but received and demodulated according to orthogonal amplitude-modulated branches, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, a constellation chart **221** of states **222** is shown as received and demodulated by a receiver. The constellation chart shows the I-branch amplitudes and Q-branch amplitudes of states **222** as received. Those states were modulated, by the transmitter, in amplitude and phase (as in FIG. 2A), but then were demodulated by the receiver in orthogonal branch amplitudes (as in FIG. 1A).

The four radial groups correspond to each phase column of FIG. 2A. For example, state **225** here corresponds to states **215** and **205** in the previous figures. State **226** here is the same as **216** and **206**. The I and Q branch amplitudes of state **225** are specifically called out as **224** and **227**. In contrast, the overall waveform amplitude of state **225** is shown as the radius line **228**, and the overall waveform phase is given by the angle **223**. Hence each state, originally modulated according to equally-spaced-apart amplitude levels and equally-spaced-apart phase levels of the overall waveform, now appear as the resulting I and Q amplitudes of the figure.

The constellation chart of FIG. 2C provides larger phase-noise margins than 16QAM, as shown by the arc-angle **229**. Specifically, the phase separation between adjacent phase states is 90 degrees here, whereas the closest phase separation is only 36.9 degrees when they were modulated according to QAM (such as **129** in FIG. 1C). The improved phase separations are preserved in the final demodulated states, whether the receiver demodulates according to the overall amplitude-phase or by QAM.

The modulation scheme of FIG. 2C includes 16 states which are originally modulated as the amplitude and phase of the overall waveform, and transmitted as a sine wave with that amplitude and phase. The sine wave was then received by a receiver and demodulated according to orthogonal branch amplitudes, with the I and Q branch amplitudes as shown. Since this scheme provides noise margins greater, by a factor of 2.4, greater than 16QAM, it may be suitable for high-frequency communications where the QAM schemes are prohibited due to phase faulting.

In addition, the amplitude levels are equally spaced apart as shown. The states originally modulated as multiplexed amplitude-phase, and then demodulated as orthogonal branches, are equally-spaced-apart in both the I and Q directions, as well as in the overall wave amplitude. In contrast, the overall amplitude states of 16QAM are not equally spaced apart. The unequal separations between the overall amplitude levels of 16QAM, and other QAM schemes in general, which impacts the amplitude noise margin. As a further distinction, the number of overall amplitude levels is four with multiplexed amplitude-phase modulation, but only three with quadrature modulation, as shown above.

The receiver can calibrate the predetermined branch amplitude levels using a demodulation reference proximate to the message. For example, the demodulation reference may be a short-form demodulation reference that exhibits

the maximum branch amplitudes of QAM and the maximum overall waveform amplitude of multiplexed amplitude-phase modulation. In that case, a single short-form demodulation reference can be used to calibrate both demodulation schemes, including the branch amplitudes of QAM demodulation, and the overall waveform phase and amplitude levels. The overall waveform amplitude levels are generally 2 times the corresponding branch amplitude levels.

The example demonstrates that enhanced phase noise margins can be obtained by modulating messages in equally-spaced amplitude and phase levels of the transmitted wave, and then demodulated by the receiver in the usual way, according to orthogonal I and Q branch amplitudes. Importantly, the wide phase separation between the phase-modulated states is preserved when the signal is demodulated according to QAM.

FIG. 3 is a schematic showing an exemplary embodiment of a wave modulated according to overall amplitude and phase, and the same wave decomposed into orthogonal branches, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, a wave **301** is plotted versus phase (or equivalently, versus time) as modulated by a transmitter. The wave **301** has a phase **302** (to the peak) and an amplitude **303** as shown. The particular wave configuration corresponds to state **206** in FIG. 2A, which in one scheme corresponds to a hexadecimal "D" in a message element.

A receiver has received this wave **301** and separated it into an I-branch component **306** at zero degrees, plus a Q-branch component **307** at 90 degrees. The I-branch amplitude **308** is the value **304** (box) of the overall wave **301** at zero phase (where the Q-branch passes through zero). Likewise, the Q-branch amplitude **309** is the value **305** of the overall wave **301** at 90 degrees (where the I-branch passes through zero). Thus the overall wave **301** is the sum of the two branch waves **306**, **307**.

The receiver, upon measuring the two branch amplitudes **308**, **309**, may determine that the I-branch amplitude **308** is maximally positive, while the Q-branch amplitude **309** is maximally negative, and therefore the received branch amplitudes correspond to state **226** of FIG. 2C, which corresponds to state **206** of FIG. 2A, which corresponds to a hex-D as mentioned. Thus the receiver can readily process a message that is modulated in amplitude and phase but demodulated in two orthogonal branch amplitude levels. The receiver can then determine the content of the message element by comparing the branch amplitudes to the set of predetermined branch amplitude levels, selecting the closest of the predetermined branch amplitude levels in each case.

FIG. 4 is a flowchart showing an exemplary embodiment of a method to transmit a message modulated according to wave amplitude and phase, and then receive the message using quadrature components, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, at **401** a transmitter modulates each message element of a message using multiplexed amplitude-phase modulation, and transmits the message as a series of sinusoidal waves, one sinusoidal wave per resource element, each sinusoidal wave having an overall amplitude and phase according to the message content. At **402**, a receiver receives the wave as an incoming sinusoidal signal, but does not demodulate the incoming signal itself. Instead, the receiver separates the incoming wave into two orthogonal branches, the I-branch and the Q-branch. After some signal processing (frequency downconversion, filtering, limiting, digitization, subcarrier selection, etc.) the receiver measures the branch amplitudes of the I and Q branches. At **403**, the receiver demodulates the



## 11

message element by comparing the branch amplitudes to a calibration set containing predetermined branch amplitude levels of the modulation scheme (as determined from a demodulation reference, for example), and selecting the predetermined branch amplitudes closest to the measured I and Q branch amplitudes of the received message element.

Thus the transmitter modulates each message element according to a first modulation scheme involving multiplexed amplitude and phase modulation, and thereby provides a wide phase-noise margin equal to the separation between the modulated phase levels. The receiver then receives the transmitted wave and demodulates the message element according to a second modulation scheme involving orthogonal branch amplitudes. Receivers generally use orthogonal branch signals for signal processing and demodulation because this is an economical and effective way to do signal processing. The receiver thereby determines the message content while mitigating phase noise (due to the large phase level separations) and at low cost (by separately processing orthogonal components).

FIG. 5A is an exemplary embodiment of a constellation chart showing the received states of FIG. 2C, but now including phase noise, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, a constellation chart such as 221 has been distorted by phase noise. In this case, and many cases of interest at high frequencies, the phase noise is due to clock errors and the like, which affect the phase of all modulation states in a similar way: rotating the phase of the state by a phase rotation angle which is proportional to the phase noise. Dots 501 indicate the undistorted modulation states as they would be received by a receiver if there were no phase noise, and little circles 503 indicate the same states rotated by phase noise.

The message is modulated in amplitude and phase of the overall waveform by the transmitter, and demodulated according to quadrature amplitude demodulation by the receiver. However, phase noise does not directly affect the I and Q branches; it directly rotates the overall phase of the incoming wave, and this results secondarily in changes to the I and Q amplitudes. As shown, the phase rotation angle 502 affects all of the modulation states in the same way, by rotating them to the distorted positions indicated by little circles 503.

The receiver can mitigate this phase noise by reversing the phase rotation (aside from high-order effects and receiver nonlinearities which are generally small and are ignored herein). First, the receiver can receive a demodulation reference proximate to the message, wherein the demodulation reference has a specific predetermined modulation which is known to the receiver. The receiver can determine the phase rotation angle by measuring the demodulation reference, and can then correct each of the I and Q branch amplitudes of the message elements by mathematically de-rotating them, specifically by calculating the I and Q amplitudes with the phase rotation reversed.

For example, regarding state 501, the receiver can calculate the distortion in the I-branch 505 according to the phase rotation angle 502 and the original phase angle 506 (here, 45 degrees), and can subtract that I-branch distortion 505 from the received I-branch amplitude. Likewise, the receiver can calculate the Q-branch distortion 504 and subtract it from the received Q-branch amplitude, thereby recovering the original, undistorted I and Q amplitudes of the original state 501. Geometrical formulas or algorithms can be used to calculate the I-branch and Q-branch distortions 505, 504 according to the received I-branch and Q-branch amplitudes and the phase rotation angle. Alternatively, formulas or

## 12

algorithms can be used to calculate the corrected I-branch and Q-branch amplitudes directly. As a further alternative, the receiver can calculate the overall wave amplitude and phase corresponding to the received I and Q signals, subtract the phase rotation angle from the overall wave phase, and then calculate the corrected I-branch and Q-branch amplitudes accordingly. There are many other ways to implement the phase correction, all foreseen.

As an alternative mitigation strategy, the receiver can measure the angular deviation of each message element, on the I-Q plane, relative to the closest allowed state of the modulation scheme, and can average the angular deviations for a (preferably large) number of message elements, and thereby determine an estimate of the phase rotation angle. The receiver can then de-rotate those message elements by the estimated phase rotation angle as described. Preferably the message elements are all simultaneous, such as multiple subcarrier signals in an OFDM symbol, which generally have the same phase noise effects. Depending on the bandwidth of the phase noise, the same or similar phase rotation angle can be subtracted from other message elements in adjacent symbol-times. For example, if the phase noise does not vary substantially in one symbol-time, the receiver can calculate a running average of the estimated phase rotation angles versus symbol, and may thereby improve the accuracy of the phase noise mitigation.

FIG. 5B is an exemplary embodiment of a constellation chart showing the received states of FIG. 2C including amplitude noise, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, the I and Q branch amplitudes are distorted unidirectionally from the original position 511, to a distorted position 513. The amplitude noise causes an amplitude distortion by a distance 512. The amplitude noise is assumed to be at the same frequency as the message element but at a random phase. The amplitude noise may be due to interference at the same frequency, or it may be incoherent noise which has been extremely tightly filtered (by the subcarrier filter), thereby resulting in a narrow-band noise signal which is nearly equivalent to a synchronous interference signal. In either case, the primary distortion is wave interference. The wave interference includes both constructive and destructive interference regions, depending on the relative phase. In the depicted case, the noise or interference is constructive in Quadrant 1, destructive in Quadrant 3, and orthogonal (phase-shifting with minimal amplitude effect) in Quadrants 2 and 4. Hence, to sufficient accuracy, the amplitude noise effect can be regarded as an amplitude shift in a particular direction on the I-Q plane, for all of the modulation states (ignoring nonlinearities, as mentioned).

The receiver can negate the amplitude noise by first measuring the amplitude and phase of the noise as a "signal", calculating the magnitude and direction of an amplitude shift 512 caused by the noise, calculating the I-branch and Q-branch components 514, 515 of the amplitude shift, and subtracting those branch components 514, 515 from the as-received I and Q branch amplitudes. In this way, the receiver can determine corrected I and Q branch amplitudes, with the amplitude noise largely negated. Since the transmitted signal was modulated according to the amplitude and phase of the overall wave, the interference imposes the same amplitude shift on all of the modulation states in a constellation chart. Consequently, some of the modulation states are increased by the interference, some are decreased, and some are hardly affected. The receiver, by determining the amplitude and phase of the noise, can calculate those distortions

13

and subtract them from the received I and Q amplitudes, and thereby recover the originally modulated message elements.

FIG. 6 is a flowchart showing an exemplary embodiment of a procedure for modulating a message according to the overall wave amplitude and phase, receiving the message according to orthogonal branch amplitudes, and correcting amplitude noise and phase noise, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, at **601** a transmitter transmits a short-form demodulation reference that includes two resource elements, one exhibiting the maximum amplitude level of the first modulation scheme, and the other one a “gap” of no transmission. At **602**, a receiver receives the demodulation reference and determines several things about the noise: (a) the receiver measures phase noise according to a phase rotation angle of the signal in the maximum-amplitude portion of the demodulation reference, (b) measures a noise level, including the noise amplitude and phase, according to a signal received during the no-transmission portion of the demodulation reference, and then (c) recalibrates the scale of the predetermined amplitude levels according to the root-sum-square of the two branch amplitudes of the maximum-amplitude portion (since the root-sum-square is unaffected by the phase rotation). For example, the receiver can measure a reference I-branch amplitude and a reference Q-branch amplitude from the signal in the first resource element of the demodulation reference, and can determine the phase rotation angle from a ratio of the reference I-branch amplitude and the reference Q-branch amplitude. The receiver can then determine the amplitude and phase of the incoming noise or interference by measuring the noise I-branch amplitude and the noise Q-branch amplitude, of the noise signal that arrives during the no-transmission message element of the demodulation reference, and thereby determine an amplitude shift and its components in the I and Q directions. Alternatively, the receiver can update the scale of the calibration set (of predetermined branch amplitude levels) according to the magnitude of the amplitude shift, specifically by calculating a square root of a sum of the reference I-branch amplitude squared plus the reference Q-branch amplitude squared. Other ways of calculating the amplitude and phase distortions based on the demodulation reference, and applying them to the received branch amplitudes, are possible and foreseen.

At **603**, the transmitter modulates a message according to a first modulation scheme that includes amplitude and phase modulation of each message element. The transmitter modulates the overall transmitted wave according to a set of predetermined amplitude levels and phase levels.

At **604** the receiver receives the overall wave with its overall amplitude and phase modulation, but now distorted by amplitude noise and phase noise. The receiver then demodulates the message according to a second modulation scheme, different from the first modulation scheme. In this case, the receiver amplifies and filters the overall wave, then separates two orthogonal branch components, and measures their branch amplitudes. At **605**, the receiver negates the phase noise by calculating a corrected I branch amplitude and a corrected Q branch amplitude, according to the phase rotation angle. At **606**, the receiver negates the amplitude noise by calculating a further corrected I branch amplitude and a further corrected Q branch amplitude, according to the amplitude and phase of the received noise signal in the gap of no transmission.

At **607**, the receiver finishes the demodulation of each message element by comparing the corrected branch amplitudes to the calibration set, of predetermined branch ampli-

14

tude levels, of the second modulation scheme, and selecting the closest of the predetermined branch amplitude levels for each message element. The receiver can thus demodulate the message, while largely negating amplitude noise and phase noise. As a result, enhanced messaging reliability can be achieved, and high frequency communication bands can be opened, at zero or negligible cost.

In some embodiments, the signal processing steps may be reversed or changed from those discussed, and other signal processing steps may be added. It is immaterial how the receiver determines the branch amplitudes, so long as the measured branch amplitudes are related to the transmitted overall wave plus noise.

FIG. 7A is a modulation table showing an exemplary embodiment of states modulated according to a non-square modulation scheme with three phase levels, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, a modulation table **701** includes modulation states **702** in which the overall wave is modulated, by a transmitter, in amplitude and phase. The overall wave amplitude is modulated according to five equally spaced predetermined amplitude levels, and the overall wave phase is modulated according to three equally-spaced predetermined phases separated by 120 degrees as indicated **709**. Hence, the modulation scheme is non-square because the number of phase levels is not equal to the number of amplitude levels. Icons are shaded from black for the lowest amplitude to white for the highest amplitude. The phase separation is 30 degrees larger than the phase separation of a square 16-state modulation scheme such as that of FIG. 2A, and this extra phase separation provides a wider phase noise margin, which may enable higher frequency communications. In addition, the added amplitude level enhances throughput. The 15 modulation states shown here almost matches the 16 states of, for example, 16QAM, and therefore the size of messages modulated according to the depicted scheme are only slightly longer than for 16QAM or the other 16-state modulation schemes. Due to the large phase noise margin of 120 degrees, communications are enabled in high-frequency bands that would be prohibited to 16QAM due to frequent phase faults.

FIG. 7B is a polar plot showing an exemplary embodiment of states modulated according to a non-square modulation scheme with three phase levels, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, the states **710**, corresponding to the states of the previous figure, are shown versus phase angle, with five circles **711**, **712**, **713**, **714**, **715** indicating the amplitude levels. As indicated by an arc-arrow **719**, the spacing between phase levels is 120 degrees. The icons here are shaded in the same way as in FIG. 7A. This three-phase modulation scheme may be advantageous if prior-art modulation schemes result in excessive rates of phase faulting, and the extra amplitude level may be acceptable if there is little amplitude faulting.

FIG. 7C is a constellation chart showing an exemplary embodiment of states modulated according to a non-square modulation scheme with three phase levels and five amplitude levels, and then demodulated according to two orthogonal amplitude-modulated branch signals, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, the depicted modulation scheme may be invoked if a receiver has encountered excessive phase faults when using a modulation scheme that provides only 90-degree phase-noise margin. For wider phase noise tolerance, the transmitter has switched to the modulation scheme of FIG. 7A or 7B, in which the overall waveform states **721** are phase-modulated according to three predetermined phase levels separated by

15

120 degrees, as shown by the angle **729**. The larger phase separation between the phase levels thereby enables the receiver to avoid most phase noise faults. In addition, the number of amplitude levels in the amplitude modulation of the overall wave was increased from four to five, to maintain sufficient throughput. As mentioned, the number of states is now  $3 \times 5 = 15$  states, only slightly less than the 16 states of the previous modulation scheme. The asymmetric modulation scheme therefore provides almost the same information content per message element as the 16-state square modulation schemes.

In most cases, the lowest fault rate can be obtained by adjusting the modulation scheme so that the rates of phase faults and amplitude faults are approximately equal. When the rate of amplitude faults is much lower than the rate of phase faults, the additional amplitude level may provide needed throughput without causing excessive faulting.

Before switching to the 120-degree modulation scheme, the transmitter may inform the receiver of the change, so that the receiver can begin using the correct calibration set associated with the new modulation scheme. In this case, the receiver changes its tables of predetermined branch amplitude levels to those corresponding to the constellation chart depicted. Switching between the modulation scheme of FIG. 2A to the one depicted here can be straightforward, because the signal processing and I-Q branch extraction and branch amplitude determination steps remain unchanged in the modulation switch. Only the finishing demodulation step, such as step **607** of the flowchart above, is affected by the changed modulation scheme, and that is merely changing to a different set of predetermined branch amplitude levels. However, the branch amplitude levels in the depicted case would be different for the states of different overall phase, since those at phase 180 degrees have larger I-branch amplitude separations than states in the other two phase groups. The receiver can readily accommodate those changes by including, in the calibration set, an indication of which predetermined branch amplitude levels to use for which overall phases.

FIG. 8A is a modulation table showing an exemplary embodiment of states modulated according to a non-square modulation scheme with two phase levels, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, the asymmetric non-square modulation table **801** includes states **802** that are amplitude modulated, by a transmitter, according to six predetermined amplitude levels, and phase modulated by two predetermined phase levels as shown. Since  $N_{\text{phase}}$  is not equal to  $N_{\text{amp}}$ , the table is non-square. The shading again goes from black at the lowest amplitude up to white for the highest amplitude. This two-phase modulation scheme provides a full 180 degrees of phase noise margin **809**, which may be preferred at the highest frequencies where the phase noise is expected to be a seriously limiting factor.

FIG. 8B is a polar plot showing an exemplary embodiment of states modulated according to a non-square modulation scheme with two phase levels, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, the states **812** correspond to those of the previous figure, on six amplitude circles **811**, and separated by a large phase separation **819**.

FIG. 8C is a constellation chart showing an exemplary embodiment of states modulated according to a non-square modulation scheme with two phase levels, and demodulated according to orthogonal branch amplitudes, according to some embodiments. As depicted in this non-limiting example, to combat excessive phase noise, the transmitter

16

uses an especially phase-noise tolerant scheme in which the adjacent states **821** are separated by 180 degrees as shown **829**. Such a scheme may be advantageous when the rate of amplitude faulting remains low, thereby allowing the number of amplitude levels to be increased to six amplitude levels. The number of states **821** is then  $2 \times 6 = 12$  states. Messages are 33% longer than with the 16-state schemes on average, but with far more effective phase noise mitigation.

Due to the many options and variations disclosed herein, and other versions derived therefrom by artisans after reading this disclosure, it would be helpful for a wireless standards committee to establish conventions governing formats and implementation options for modulation according to overall wave amplitude and phase, while demodulating according to two orthogonal branch amplitudes, as disclosed. With such a beneficial modulation-demodulation scheme, future wireless users can enjoy phase-noise mitigation and amplitude-noise mitigation, transparently, with each communication.

The wireless embodiments of this disclosure may be aptly suited for cloud backup protection, according to some embodiments. Furthermore, the cloud backup can be provided cyber-security, such as blockchain, to lock or protect data, thereby preventing malevolent actors from making changes. The cyber-security may thereby avoid changes that, in some applications, could result in hazards including lethal hazards, such as in applications related to traffic safety, electric grid management, law enforcement, or national security.

In some embodiments, non-transitory computer-readable media may include instructions that, when executed by a computing environment, cause a method to be performed, the method according to the principles disclosed herein. In some embodiments, the instructions (such as software or firmware) may be upgradable or updatable, to provide additional capabilities and/or to fix errors and/or to remove security vulnerabilities, among many other reasons for updating software. In some embodiments, the updates may be provided monthly, quarterly, annually, every 2 or 3 or 4 years, or upon other interval, or at the convenience of the owner, for example. In some embodiments, the updates (especially updates providing added capabilities) may be provided on a fee basis. The intent of the updates may be to cause the updated software to perform better than previously, and to thereby provide additional user satisfaction.

The systems and methods may be fully implemented in any number of computing devices. Typically, instructions are laid out on computer readable media, generally non-transitory, and these instructions are sufficient to allow a processor in the computing device to implement the method of the invention. The computer readable medium may be a hard drive or solid state storage having instructions that, when run, or sooner, are loaded into random access memory. Inputs to the application, e.g., from the plurality of users or from any one user, may be by any number of appropriate computer input devices. For example, users may employ vehicular controls, as well as a keyboard, mouse, touchscreen, joystick, trackpad, other pointing device, or any other such computer input device to input data relevant to the calculations. Data may also be input by way of one or more sensors on the robot, an inserted memory chip, hard drive, flash drives, flash memory, optical media, magnetic media, or any other type of file—storing medium. The outputs may be delivered to a user by way of signals transmitted to robot steering and throttle controls, a video graphics card or integrated graphics chipset coupled to a display that may be seen by a user. Given this teaching, any

17

number of other tangible outputs will also be understood to be contemplated by the invention. For example, outputs may be stored on a memory chip, hard drive, flash drives, flash memory, optical media, magnetic media, or any other type of output. It should also be noted that the invention may be implemented on any number of different types of computing devices, e.g., embedded systems and processors, personal computers, laptop computers, notebook computers, net book computers, handheld computers, personal digital assistants, mobile phones, smart phones, tablet computers, and also on devices specifically designed for these purpose. In one implementation, a user of a smart phone or Wi-Fi-connected device downloads a copy of the application to their device from a server using a wireless Internet connection. An appropriate authentication procedure and secure transaction process may provide for payment to be made to the seller. The application may download over the mobile connection, or over the Wi-Fi or other wireless network connection. The application may then be run by the user. Such a networked system may provide a suitable computing environment for an implementation in which a plurality of users provide separate inputs to the system and method.

It is to be understood that the foregoing description is not a definition of the invention but is a description of one or more preferred exemplary embodiments of the invention. The invention is not limited to the particular embodiments(s) disclosed herein, but rather is defined solely by the claims below. Furthermore, the statements contained in the foregoing description relate to particular embodiments and are not to be construed as limitations on the scope of the invention or on the definition of terms used in the claims, except where a term or phrase is expressly defined above. Various other embodiments and various changes and modifications to the disclosed embodiment(s) will become apparent to those skilled in the art. For example, the specific combination and order of steps is just one possibility, as the present method may include a combination of steps that has fewer, greater, or different steps than that shown here. All such other embodiments, changes, and modifications are intended to come within the scope of the appended claims.

As used in this specification and claims, the terms “for example”, “e.g.”, “for instance”, “such as”, and “like” and the terms “comprising”, “having”, “including”, and their other verb forms, when used in conjunction with a listing of one or more components or other items, are each to be construed as open-ended, meaning that the listing is not to be considered as excluding other additional components or items. Other terms are to be construed using their broadest reasonable meaning unless they are used in a context that requires a different interpretation.

The invention claimed is:

1. A method for a wireless receiver to mitigate message faults, the method comprising:

- a) receiving a message comprising message elements modulated according to a first modulation scheme, each message element comprising a sinusoidal signal comprising a received amplitude and a received phase;
- b) for each message element, separating the sinusoidal signal into an I-branch comprising an I-branch amplitude, and an orthogonal Q-branch comprising a Q-branch amplitude;
- c) for each message element, calculating a sum-signal amplitude comprising a combination of the I-branch amplitude and the Q-branch amplitude, and calculating a sum-signal phase comprising a different combination of the I-branch amplitude and the Q-branch amplitude; and

18

- d) demodulating the message by comparing the sum-signal amplitude to integer Namp predetermined amplitude levels, and comparing the sum-signal phase to integer Nphase predetermined phase levels.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the message is received according to 5G or 6G technologies.

3. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

- a) determining that the message is corrupted;
- b) receiving a second version of the message; and
- c) determining at least one message element of the message that differs from a corresponding message element of the second version, differing in sum-signal amplitude or sum-signal phase or both.

4. The method of claim 3, further comprising:

- a) when the at least one message element of the message differs from the corresponding message element of the second version in sum-signal amplitude, incrementing an amplitude fault tally; and
- b) when the at least one message element of the message differs from the corresponding message element of the second version in sum-signal phase, incrementing a phase fault tally.

5. The method of claim 4, further comprising:

- a) when the amplitude fault tally exceeds the phase fault tally, selecting a second modulation scheme comprising less than Namp predetermined amplitude levels; and
- b) when the phase fault tally exceeds the amplitude fault tally, selecting a second modulation scheme comprising less than Nphase predetermined phase levels.

6. The method of claim 5, further comprising transmitting a request message requesting that subsequent messages be modulated according to the second modulation scheme.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the request message is modulated according to the first modulation scheme.

8. The method of claim 5, wherein the second modulation scheme comprises integer Mamp predetermined amplitude levels and integer Mphase predetermined phase levels, wherein Mamp is different from Mphase.

9. The method of claim 4, further comprising:

- a) determining, according to the amplitude fault tally, an amplitude fault rate; and
- b) determining, according to the phase fault tally, a phase fault rate.

10. The method of claim 9, further comprising:

- a) when the amplitude fault rate is below an amplitude threshold, selecting a second modulation scheme comprising more than Namp predetermined amplitude levels; and
- b) transmitting a request message requesting that subsequent messages be modulated according to the second modulation scheme.

11. The method of claim 9, further comprising:

- a) when the phase fault rate is below a phase threshold, selecting a second modulation scheme comprising more than Nphase predetermined phase levels; and
- b) transmitting a request message requesting that subsequent messages be modulated according to the second modulation scheme.

12. A method for a wireless transmitter to mitigate message faults, the method comprising:

- a) transmitting a first message modulated according to a first modulation scheme, the first modulation scheme comprising integer Namp predetermined amplitude levels and integer Nphase predetermined phase levels;
- b) receiving a request message requesting that subsequent messages be modulated according to a second modulation scheme comprising integer Mamp predetermined

## 19

amplitude levels and integer Mphase predetermined phase levels, wherein Mamp differs from Namp, or Mphase differs from Nphase, or both; and

- c) transmitting an acknowledgement message modulated according to Mamp predetermined amplitude levels and Mphase predetermined phase levels;
- d) wherein Mphase equals 3.

13. The method of claim 12, wherein Mamp differs from Mphase.

14. The method of claim 12, wherein the Mamp predetermined amplitude levels are equally spaced apart and the Mphase predetermined phase levels are equally spaced apart.

15. A method for a wireless network to mitigate message faults, the method comprising:

- a) transmitting a system information message indicating a first modulation scheme, a second modulation scheme, and a particular frequency;
- b) wherein messages transmitted using a frequency below the particular frequency are to be modulated according to the first modulation scheme, and messages transmitted using a frequency above the particular frequency are to be modulated according to the second modulation scheme; and
- c) wherein the first modulation scheme comprises quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) and the second

## 20

modulation scheme comprises multiplexed amplitude modulation and phase modulation.

16. The method of claim 15, wherein the system information message comprises a synchronization signal block (SSB) message or a system information block message of type n (SIBn).

17. The method of claim 15, further comprising:

- a) determining that a rate of message faulting is below a predetermined threshold; and
- b) responsively transmitting a radio resource control (RRC) message indicating that messages transmitted thereafter are to be modulated according to quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) regardless of frequency.

18. The method of claim 15, further comprising:

- a) receiving a request message from a user device of the wireless network, the request message requesting that downlink messages transmitted to the user device be modulated according to multiplexed amplitude modulation and phase modulation regardless of frequency; and
- b) transmitting a message to the user device according to multiplexed amplitude modulation and phase modulation.

\* \* \* \* \*